

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
Region III  
1650 Arch Street  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

IN RE:

Life Church, Inc.  
6707 Woodyard Rd  
Upper Marlboro, MD 20772

:  
:  
: Docket No: TSCA-03-2008-0404  
:  
:

:  
:  
: Consent Agreement  
:  
:

**Respondent**

**CONSENT AGREEMENT**

**I. Preliminary Statement**

This Consent Agreement ("CA"), issued under the authority set forth in sections 16 and 207 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2615 and 2647, is entered into, through delegation, by the Director, Land and Chemicals Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region III ("Complainant") and Life Church, Inc., ("Respondent"). This CA includes the assessment of a civil penalty against Respondent, because it is a local education agency liable for violations which occurred at Clinton Christian School, located at 6707 Woodyard Road, in Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 (the "Facility"), pursuant to the Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA"), Subchapter II (the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act or "AHERA") 15 U.S.C. §§ 2641 to 2656; and the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits ("Consolidated Rules of Practice"), 40 C.F.R Part 22, with specific reference to the provisions set forth at 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b)(2) and (3).

This Consent Agreement and the accompanying Final Order (collectively referred to

herein as the "CAFO") address violations by Respondent of AHERA and the federal regulations implementing AHERA as set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 763 Subpart E, and resolve Complainant's civil claims against Respondent arising from the violations alleged herein.

## **II. General Provisions**

1. For the purpose of this proceeding only, Respondent admits the jurisdictional allegations set forth in this CAFO.
2. Except as provided in paragraph 1, above, Respondent neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations and conclusions of law set forth in this CAFO.
3. Respondent agrees not to contest EPA's jurisdiction with respect to the execution of this CA, the issuance of the accompanying Final Order, or the enforcement of the CAFO.
4. For the purposes of this proceeding only, Respondent hereby expressly waives its right to a hearing on any issue of law or fact set forth in this CA and any right to appeal the accompanying Final Order.
5. Respondent shall not deduct for civil taxation purposes the civil penalty specified in this CAFO.
6. Section 22.13(b) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice provides that where the parties agree to settlement of one or more causes of action before the filing of a complaint, a proceeding may be simultaneously commenced and concluded by the issuance of a consent agreement and final order.
7. By signing this CA, Respondent certifies to EPA that, upon investigation and to the best of its knowledge, the Facility is in compliance with the provisions of the Asbestos Hazard

Emergency Response Act ("AHERA"), Subchapter II of TSCA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2641-2656, and regulations promulgated thereunder.

8. Respondent consents to the issuance of this CAFO and agrees to comply with its terms.
9. Respondent shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees.
10. Nothing in this CAFO shall relieve Respondent of its obligation to comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.
11. By signing and executing this CA, Respondent certifies that it has already spent four thousand seven hundred fifteen dollars (\$4,715) since the Maryland Department of the Environment's ("MDE") June 26, 2007 inspection, for purposes of complying with Subchapter II of TSCA and the regulations promulgated thereunder, in accordance with § 207(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a), and that Respondent has provided Complainant with all supporting cost documentation and information.
12. This CAFO shall apply to and be binding upon the EPA, Respondent, and the officers, directors, successors, and assigns of Respondent.

### **III. EPA's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law**

13. Complainant has determined that Respondent has violated requirements of TSCA and the federal regulations implementing AHERA set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 763, Subpart E. In accordance with the Consolidated Rules of Practice as set forth at 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b)(2) and (3), Complainant alleges the following findings of fact and conclusions of law.

14. Respondent is the "Local Education Agency" ("LEA") as that term is defined under Section 202(7) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2642(7) and 40 C.F.R. § 763.83, because it is the owner of a nonpublic, non-profit elementary, or secondary school building, including the Facility, and as such, is responsible for ensuring that the Facility is in compliance with the requirements of AHERA.
15. The Facility is a "school" as that term is defined at Section 202(12) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2642(12) and 40 C.F.R. § 763.83.
16. The Facility is a "school building" as that term is defined at Section 202(13) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2642(13) and 40 C.F.R. § 763.83.

COUNT I

17. The allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 16 are incorporated herein by reference.
18. 40 C.F.R. § 763.85(b)(1) requires that each LEA reinspect each school building for friable and nonfriable asbestos-containing building materials (ACBM). On June 26, 2007, MDE's duly authorized inspector conducted an inspection at the main building, the facility's gymnasium, and each of its six class rooms, which are used as school buildings at the Facility.
19. The main building of the facility contains a minor amount of asbestos.
20. Neither the gymnasium, nor the six modular school rooms contain asbestos.
21. During the inspection, MDE's inspector discovered that the Life Church, Inc., had not been conducting reinspections every 3 years at the main building, to assess the condition

of any ACBM located at the Facility.

22. By failing to conduct reinspections, Respondent violated 40 C.F.R. § 763.85(b)(1) and Section 207(a)(1) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a)(1).

#### COUNT II

23. The allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22 are incorporated herein by reference.
24. 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(g)(4) states, in pertinent part, that: "...at least once each school year, the [LEA] shall notify in writing parent, teacher, and employce organizations of the availability of management plans and shall include in the management plan a description of the steps taken to notify such organizations, and a dated copy of the notification."
25. During the June 26, 2007 inspection, the MDE inspector found that the Respondent failed to provide, at least once each school year, written notification, of the availability of the Facilities management plan, to parent, teacher, and employee organizations and to include in the management plan a description of the steps taken to notify each such organization, and a dated copy of each such notification.
26. By failing to provide the annual written notifications, Respondent violated 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(g)(4) and Section 207(a)(3) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a)(3).

#### **IV. Settlement Recitation**

27. Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, EPA concludes that Respondent is liable for a civil penalty pursuant to Section 207(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a), for Respondent's TSCA violations. In full settlement of the violations alleged

in this Consent Agreement, in consideration of each provision of this Consent Agreement and the accompanying Final Order, and pursuant to Sections 207(a) and (c) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a) and (c), and other relevant factors, Complainant and Respondent have determined that a civil penalty of two thousand one hundred dollars (\$2,100) is appropriate.

28. The aforesaid assessed penalty is based upon EPA's consideration of a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the statutory factors set forth in Section 207(c) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(c), *i.e.*, the significance of the violation, the culpability of the violator, and the ability of the violator to continue to provide educational services to the community. These factors were applied to the particular facts and circumstances of this case with specific reference to EPA's *Interim Final Enforcement Response Policy for the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act ("ERP")*, dated January 31, 1989, adjusted for inflation pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Part 19.
29. Respondent certifies that it has spent four thousand seven hundred fifteen dollars (\$4,715) since MDE's June 26, 2007, inspection to comply with Subchapter II of TSCA. Therefore, pursuant to sections 16(a)(2)(C) and 207(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2615(a)(2)(C) and 2647(a), EPA agrees to the remittance of the two thousand one hundred dollars (\$2,100) of the civil penalty assessed against the Respondent. Respondent consents to the assessment of a two thousand one hundred dollar (\$2,100) civil penalty with a cash component of (\$0) zero dollars.

### **V. Reservation of Rights**

30. This CAFO resolves only the civil claims for the specific violations alleged in this CAFO. EPA reserves the right to commence action against any person, including Respondent, in response to any condition which EPA determines may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, public welfare, or the environment. In addition, this settlement is subject to all limitations on the scope of resolution and to the reservation of rights set forth in Section 22.18(c) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice. Further, EPA reserves any rights and remedies available to it under Subchapter II of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2641 to 2656, the regulations promulgated thereunder, and any other federal laws or regulations for which EPA has jurisdiction, to enforce the provisions of this CAFO, following its filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

### **VI. Effective Date**


31. The effective date of this CAFO is the date on which the Final Order is filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk pursuant to the Consolidated Rules of Practice.

### **VII. Execution**

The undersigned representative of Respondent certifies that he or she is fully authorized by Respondent to execute this Consent Agreement and to legally bind Respondent to this Consent Agreement.


**Respondent:**

9/17/08  
Date

  
Mr. Bruce Etherton, Chief Executive  
Life Church, Inc.


**For Complainant:**

9/12/2008  
Date

  
Carolyn Bernota, Enforcement Officer

Accordingly I hereby recommend that the Regional Administrator or his designee issue the Final Order attached hereto.

9/18/2008  
Date

  
Abraham Ferdas, Director  
Land and Chemicals Division



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION III  
1650 Arch Street  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

IN RE:

Life Church, Inc. : Docket No: TSCA-03-2008-0404  
6707 Woodyard Rd. :  
Upper Marlboro, Maryland 20772 :  
:


**Respondent**

**FINAL ORDER**

The undersigned accepts and incorporates into this Final Order by reference all provisions set forth in the foregoing Consent Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT, pursuant to Sections 16 and 207 of the Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 2615 and 2647, and 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(3) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice, Respondent is assessed a civil penalty of two thousand one hundred dollars (\$2,100) with a cash component of zero (\$0) dollars. In accordance with Section 207(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a), two thousand one hundred dollars (\$2,100) of the civil penalty assessed against the Respondent is hereby remitted. The effective date of this Final Order is the date that it is filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

9/23/08  
Date

  
Renée Sarajian  
Regional Judicial Officer, U.S. Environmental  
Protection Agency, Region III